



Measuring genetic variation for contaminant analyses



All sections of the ERBF Advice Hub are available at the following link: <https://erbfacility.eu/>

Disclaimer: Opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the authors, and do not necessarily reflect the official policy of COST.

Hypertext links from this publication may lead to third-party sites. The COST Association is not responsible for and has no control over the content of such sites.

Recommended citation: European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility Advice Hub Team, 2022. Measuring genetic variation for contaminant analyses. ERBF Advice Hub. EU COST Action 16224 (European Cooperation in Science and Technology). European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility: <https://erbfacility.eu/>

For more information please contact: chris.wernham@bto.org

Photo by ©A Kovacs/RaptorImages.hu

This publication is based on work done under COST Action 16224 European Raptor Biomonitoring Facility supported by COST.

COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) is a funding agency for research and innovation networks. Our Actions help connect research initiatives across Europe and enable scientists to grow their ideas by sharing them with their peers. This boosts their research, career and innovation.

www.cost.eu



Compiled and edited by the ERBF Advice Hub Team (Working Group 4 Management Team).

JOVAN ANDEVSKI	Vulture Conservation Foundation, Wuhrstrasse 12, 8003 Zurich, Switzerland
ARIANNA ARADIS	Area Avifauna Migratrice - Avian Migration Team, Istituto Superiore per la Protezione e la Ricerca Ambientale (ISPRA) - Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Via Vitaliano Brancati 60, 00144 Roma, Italy
Yael CHORESH	Shamir Research Institute, University of Haifa, Israel
SILVIA ESPÍN	Area of Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Murcia, Campus Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain
ULF JOHANSSON	Swedish Museum of Natural History, Department of Zoology, Box 50007, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden
ANDRAS KOVACS	Imperial Eagle Foundation, 3300 Eger, Koszorú 46., Hungary
RUI LOURENÇO	MED – Mediterranean Institute for Agriculture, Environment and Development, LabOr – Laboratory of Ornithology, Instituto de Investigação e Formação Avançada, Universidade de Évora, Pólo da Mitra, Ap. 94, 7006-554 Évora, Portugal
PABLO SÁNCHEZ-VIROSTA	Area of Toxicology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Murcia, Campus Espinardo, 30100 Murcia, Spain
STAVROS XIROUCHAKIS	University of Crete, School of Sciences & Engineering. Natural History Museum, University Campus (Knossos), Heraklion, P.C. 71409, Crete, Greece
AL VREZEC	Department of Organisms and Ecosystems Research, National Institute of Biology, Večna pot 111, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. Slovenian Museum of Natural History, Prešernova 20, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
CHRIS WERNHAM	British Trust for Ornithology (Scotland), Unit 15 Beta Centre, Stirling University Innovation Park, Stirling, FK9 4NF, Scotland, UK

With contributions from Guy Duke, Knud Falk, Antonio J. García Fernández, Pilar Gómez-Ramírez, Oliver Krone, Madis Leivits, Rafael Mateo, Søren Møller, Paola Movalli, Nermina Sarajlić, Richard F. Shore, Lee A. Walker, and all contributors to Field Arena activities.

April 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MEASURING GENETIC VARIATION FOR CONTAMINANT ANALYSES	4
GENETIC INFORMATION FOR CONTAMINANT MONITORING	4
GENETIC SAMPLES	4
STORAGE OF GENETIC SAMPLES	5
SHIPPING OF GENETIC SAMPLES	6
USEFUL LINKS & REFERENCES	6

MEASURING GENETIC VARIATION FOR CONTAMINANT ANALYSES

The use of genetic data has found its way into a wide range of different disciplines, such as medicine, archeology, ecology, and forensic science. In many ways the use of genetic resources has revolutionized these fields. In biology, genetic information presently forms the basis for our understanding of the evolutionary processes behind population structuring, speciation, extinctions and natural selection at population level, as well as how genes influence the fitness of the individuals. Today, population genetics also forms an integral part of conservation biology.

GENETIC INFORMATION FOR CONTAMINANT MONITORING

Spatial and temporal variation of genetic diversity in natural populations has also become an important aspect of contaminant research. As chemical contaminants affect the survival and reproduction of the individuals, the contaminants will also affect the size and the demography of populations. As decreasing population size may by itself induce additional negative effects on the population, such as inbreeding, and increases the risk of (local) extinction, an understanding of the genetic diversity of various raptor species across Europe may help identify populations at higher risk. Low genetic diversity at the population level furthermore increases the population's susceptibility to e.g., environmental changes. Long time monitoring of these changes in population genetics can thus be seen as an integral part of a surveillance program.

GENETIC SAMPLES

From a live bird, blood is a good source for DNA. Taking a blood sample from a live bird, however, requires a lot of practice, and both legal and ethical permits are required for handling the bird as well as taking the sample. Also, the base of the feather shaft (rachis) may provide enough DNA for genetic analyses. However, feathers often yield a very small amount of DNA and may not be suitable for all types of genetic analyses. It is important to note that plucking feathers from live birds also requires legal and ethical permits in most countries and that different rules may apply to different types of feathers.

(for instance, for some species it may be possible to pluck a chest feather, but it is not allowed to pluck a flight or tail feather). A shed feather can also yield DNA for analysis, but as the DNA rapidly degrades when the feather is no longer attached to the body, this DNA is often of poor quality, and difficult and costly to work with. Therefore, a shed feather can be used if the genetic signature of a particular individual is important and no other genetic material is available, but as a regular way of obtaining material for large scale population genetic studies, shed feathers are not optimal.

Carcasses are a good source for DNA. DNA can be extracted from both soft tissue and bones. Liver, heart and muscle tissues are good sources and in particular the breast muscle is often large and easily accessible on a bird carcass. However, as the DNA rapidly degrades after death of the individual, the quality of the DNA will depend on how long the bird has been dead. The quality of the DNA is affected already hours after death, but several days old carcasses can still yield DNA with a quality that is suitable for most DNA studies. How fast the DNA will degrade, will depend on the humidity and the temperature in the place where the carcass has been laying. The DNA from a carcass that has been laying in cold and dry environment is often much better preserved than from a bird found in warm and wet conditions.

DNA can easily be amplified from very small amounts of tissue. This is a great advantage if only little material is available. However, this also makes DNA samples very sensitive for contamination with DNA from other individuals, so called cross-contamination. Even the smallest amount of tissue from another individual can interfere with and ruin subsequent genetic analyses. It is therefore very important that tissue that shall be used for DNA studies never come in contact with tissue containing DNA from another individual. Even the use of a scalpel blade that has been used for another individual can cause contamination and problems in later analyses. It is therefore very important to be very careful when taking tissue from a bird that shall be used for DNA studies.

STORAGE OF GENETIC SAMPLES

The sampled tissue should ideally be about 4-6 mm, preferably sliced so that the preservative (see below) reaches the inner parts of the tissue. Several similar-sized pieces can be taken to increase the total amount of material, but it is, however, necessary that the preservative, is at least 3-4 times the volume of the collected tissue. The sample can be stored in 2-20 ml plastic vials with screw lid (a type with o-ring reduces the risk of leakage). There is selection of different storage buffers that can be used. A range of alternatives have been proposed, e.g., 95% ethanol, DMSO-salt

solution, or Longmire lysis buffer. Several commercially available preservation buffers also exist.

DNA rapidly degrades at room temperature. Some preservative allow storage at room temperature for a limited period of time, but in order to ensure long time preservation it is essential to keep the samples as cool as possible. For longtime preservation cryogenic or -70° storage is optimal, but -20° is also acceptable. Even if the sample is stored in a preservative, a cool box with ice is an option for short time storage, in order to minimize the degradation of the DNA. Long-term storage in a freezer without a preservative is not recommended, as freezer will, sooner or later, break and if not detected immediately, the samples will be destroyed.

SHIPPING OF GENETIC SAMPLES

See the section on “shipping of genetic samples” for packing and information about legislations regarding transport of DNA samples.

USEFUL LINKS & REFERENCES

- Baos, R., Jovani, R., Pastor, N., Tella, J. L., Jiménez, B., Gómez, G., González, M. J. and Hiraldo F. (2006) Evaluation of Genotoxic effects of heavy metals and arsenic in wild nestling white storks (*Ciconia ciconia*) and black kites (*Milvus migrans*) from southwestern Spain after a mining accident – *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 25 (10): 2794-2803
- Brown, A. R., Hosken, D. J., Balloux, F., Bickley, L. K., LePage, G., Owen, S. F., Hetheridge, M. J. and Tyler, C. R. (2009) Genetic variation, inbreeding and chemical exposure—combined effects in wildlife and critical considerations for ecotoxicology. – *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B364*: 3377–3390
- Durranta, C. J., Stevens, J. R., Hogstranda, C. and Burya, N. R. (2011) The effect of metal pollution on the population genetic structure of brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) residing in the River Hayle, Cornwall, UK. – *Environmental Pollution* 159 (12): 3595-3603
- Longmire, J.L., Maltbie, M. and Baker, R.J. (1997) Use of ‘lysis buffer’ in DNA isolation and its implications for museum collections. *Museum of Texas Tech University*, 163, 1– 3.
- Mussali-Galante P., Tovar-Sánchez E., Valverde M., Rojas E. (2014) Genetic Structure and Diversity of Animal Populations Exposed to Metal Pollution. In: Whitacre D. (eds) *Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 227: 79-106 Springer, Cham.
- Mussali-Galante, P., Tovar-Sánchez, E., Valverde, M. et al. (2013) Evidence of population genetic effects in *Peromyscus melanophrys* chronically exposed to mine tailings in Morelos, Mexico. – *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 20, 7666–7679.
- Seutin, G., White, B.N., and Boag, P.T. (1991) Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for DNA analyses. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 69(1):82-90



IMPERIAL
EAGLE
FOUNDATION



ISPRA
Istituto Superiore per la Protezione
e la Ricerca Ambientale

