

WG3 Brussels meeting 10-11 December 2018

- 17 participants, 12 countries
- ESBs, NHMs, Research Collections

Didier Vangeluwe	Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique (IRSNB/KBIN) Brussels	Belgium
Olivier Pauwels	Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique (IRSNB/KBIN) Brussels	Belgium
Peter Hosner	Zoologisk Museum (ZMUC) Copenhagen	Denmark
Risto Vainola	Finnish Museum of Natural History (ZMUH) Helsinki	Finland
Michel Guiraud	Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle (MNHN) Paris	France
Alexander Badry	UBA	Germany
Till Topfer	Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig Bonn	Germany
Tibor Fuisz	Magyar Nemzeti Muzeum (HNHM) Budapest	Hungary
Marco Pavia	Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra - Università degli Studi di Torino	Italy
Gloria Ramello	Museo Civico di Carmagnola, Torino	Italy
Antonio Juan García Fernández	University of Murcia	Spain
Al Vrezec	Prirodosloveni Muzej Slovenije (ML) Ljubljana	Slovenia
Ulf Johansson	Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet (NRM) Stockholm	Sweden
René Dekker	Naturalis Biodiversity Center Leiden	Netherlands
Paola Movalli	Naturalis Biodiversity Center Leiden	Netherlands
Guy Duke	Environmental Change Institute - University of Oxford	United Kingdom
Gloria Pereira	CEH PBMS	United Kingdom

WG3 Brussels meeting 10-11 December 2018

- Focus:
 - Consideration of the findings of the Review of Raptor Collections (Task 3.1) – this session
 - Scoping of issues relating to developing the framework for a distributed European Raptor
 Specimen Bank (Task 3.2) next session
 - Scoping of issues relating to design and construction of a meta-database (Task 3.3) – next session



Task 3.1 Review of Raptor Collections *NHMs as a source of samples*

- For most countries, NHMs are the main recipients of raptor carcasses
 - Few countries (e.g. UK, Sweden...) have a dedicated ESB collecting raptor samples
 - Some (e.g. Germany, Spain) have research collections
- Almost 75% of all NMHs receive fresh raptor/owl specimens – almost all receive specimens ad hoc, but many also go out and collect
- Many thousands of raptor specimens arrive annually at NHMs, ESBs and research collections across Europe
 - NHMs can be a principle source of raptor samples for contaminant biomonitoring
 - In a few countries (UK, Sweden) ESBs play this role so less
 need for NHMs to engage

Task 3.1 Review of Raptor Collections Frozen samples

- Almost all collections store raptor carcasses in freezers (-21C)
- c. 60% then add wet tissues (and c. 60% add dry tissues) to their archive collection
- Over half of these (i.e. 30% of all collections) freeze the wet tissues.
 - Most NHMs gather frozen specimens suitable for contaminant biomonitoring, many archive frozen samples



Task 3.1 Review of Raptor Collections Freezer capacity in NHMs

- Freezer capacity is a constraint for short-term retention of carcasses for c. 50% of these collections – so many carcasses arriving are rejected/discarded
- Freezer capacity is much less of a constraint for longerterm tissue storage (samples occupy much less volume than whole carcasses!)
 - ✓ If we want to increase numbers of frozen samples, we need to find solutions to freezer capacity constraints
 - Faster processing of carcasses could increase numbers of archive samples, free up short-term freezer space, reduce wastage of specimens – but this requires people/time
 - Shipping of carcasses to collections with greater storage and processing capacity may be an answer



Task 3.1 Review of Raptor Collections *Digitisation of NHM raptor samples*

- c. 80% of collections keep record of carcasses in freezers
- c. 50% of collections have digitised records of frozen carcasses and tissues
- c. 50% of collections yet to start digitisation
 - Digitised collections are a pre-requisite for a European meta-database
 - ✓ NHMs have made a good start on digitising but there is some way to go



Task 3.1 Review of Raptor Collections Ecotox research and NHMs

- Almost 50% of collections are already engaged in raptor research
- But only 20% are engaged in ecotox studies on raptors
 - ✓ Contaminant studies are novel for most NHMs
 - ✓ Engaging NHMs will require raising awareness, building capacities



3.1 Review of Raptor Collections

Optimising NHM collections for biomonitoring

- Few NHMs have appropriate protocols in place
 - possible cross-contamination may be an issue for some contaminant analyses
- Not all NHM samples are optimal for contaminant monitoring
 - those from resident, adult birds generally of more value than migrant and/or juvenile birds
 - some are sourced from recovery centres (drug treatment must be taken in to account)
 - ✓ Introduction of standard operational procedures, learning from ESBs, can enhance sample quality for contaminant monitoring
 - NHMs could be encouraged to prioritise those specimens best suited to contaminant biomonitoring



SUMMARY

- We have a pretty good picture of the state of raptor collections across Europe and the constraints they face
- This provides a robust basis to assess what work is needed to develop the framework for the European Raptor Specimen Bank

