

# The Role of Collections for Contaminant Monitoring in Raptors Across Europe – State of Play and Next Steps

Lee Walker (WG1) Antonio J. García-Fernández (WG2)





### Introduction to the role and overall aims of WG1 & 2

### Cost Proposal, Memorandum Of Understanding

3 needs

- (1) Enhancing evaluation of the effectiveness of regulation
- (2) Enhancing reliable risk assessment of chemicals.
- (3) Providing early warning of emerging contaminant problems

ERBFacility aims to meet these 3 needs



using raptors as sentinels of environmental contamination







### **BUILDING ON EURAPMON LEGACY**

- Inventory of current monitoring - contaminants, species, matrices (Gomez-Ramirez et al. 2014)
- Inventory of which raptor species are being monitored (Vrezec et al 2012)
  - Identified best sample types and methods for monitoring different compounds (Espin et al. 2016)



Tracking pan-continental trends in environmental contamination using sentinel raptors—what types of samples should we use?

S. Espín<sup>1,2, ·</sup> A. J. García-Fernández<sup>1</sup> · D. Herzke<sup>3</sup> · R. F. Shore<sup>6</sup> · B. van Hattum<sup>8,16</sup> · E. Martínez-López<sup>1</sup> · M. Coeur dassier<sup>6</sup> · L. Eulaers<sup>2,16</sup> · C. Fritzch<sup>6</sup> · P. Gómez-Ramírez<sup>1</sup> · V. L. B. Jaspers<sup>2,8</sup> · O. Krone<sup>9</sup> · G. Duke<sup>10</sup> · B. Helander<sup>11</sup> · R. Mateo<sup>11</sup> · P. Movalli <sup>11</sup> · C. Sonne<sup>14</sup> · N. W. van den Brink<sup>13</sup>

Accepted: 11 February 2016

© The Author (a) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink com-

DOI 10.1007/s10646-016-1636-8





CrossMark



### Introduction to the role and overall aims of WG1 & 2

### WG1 AND WG2 –KEY OBJECTIVES

**Objective R1:** To assess current capacities for pan-European raptor biomonitoring and develop a framework for a European Raptor Biomonitoring Scheme (ERBioMS), using priority raptor species and deliver proof on concept for pan-European assessment of contaminant exposure trends (and, where feasible, effects) in raptors.

- Focus on current capabilities to detect temporal and spatial trends in contaminant exposure and key areas of weakness (in the absence of coordination)
- Develop an ERBioMS framework capable of delivering pan-European surveillance and monitoring of key pollutants (EU chemicals law and relevant global and regional conventions)







### Introduction to the role and overall aims of WG1 & 2

### WG1 AND WG2 -KEY OBJECTIVES

**Objective C1:** To build analytical capacities ("analysis arena") by developing networking and collaboration among ecotoxicologists and collaborating laboratories, as well as regulators and administrators.

- Collaborative work on objective R1
- Piloting joint assessment and reporting (deliver proof of concept)
- Develop guidance on how to integrate assessments with those of relevant regulatory bodies (e.g. ECHA, EFSA, UNEP)......ie explore how to mesh with key stakeholders needs







### WG1 and WG2: Monitoring priority contaminants, PPPs and biocides with raptors

#### WHY TWO WORKING GROUPS?

- WG1 focuses on REACH 'priority contaminants such as Hg and Pb, and engages in particular REACH, Minamata (Hg) and Convention on Migratory Species (Pb) stakeholders
- WG2 focuses on PPPs, biocides and NSAIDs and engages stakeholders in EU PPP, Biocides and Medicinal Products law
- Tasks divided as involve different contaminants and hence different laws/policies, agencies (WG1 is largely ECHA-related, WG2 largely EFSA-related) and, sometimes, researchers
- WGs will work closely together
  - common approaches
  - read-across (between types of compounds)
  - share ideas and methodologies





### WG1&2 TASKS: T1.1, T2.1 (Months 1-24)

Assess current capacities for pan-European raptor biomonitoring (assessment of exposure trends and, where feasible, effects) for 4-6 prioritised contaminants

THESSALONIKI MEETING

#### Candidates contaminants for review include:

- (i) PCBs, FRs, and PFAS,
- (ii) Toxic heavy metals (Hg and Pb)
- (iii) Biocides (SGARs)
- (iv) Veterinary drugs: Parasiticides, NSAIDs and livestock antibiotics







### WG1 and WG2 TASKS: T1.2, T2.2 (Months 18-36)

# Develop framework for European Raptor Biomonitoring Scheme (ERBioMS) using priority species and matrices

THESSALONIKI MEETING

- Identify appropriate species (and read across methods for species within trophic guilds)
- Identify sample matrices based on (Espin et al 2016)
- Identify scientific methodology
- Relate to WG3 and WG4 (logistics)







### WG1 and WG2 TASKS: T1.4, T2.4 (Months 36-42)

# Carry out pilot joint assessments and reporting for proof of concept

STIRLING MEETING

- Post-mortem collation of data including visceral gout
- Poisoning network

**BUCCAREST MEETING** 

- Key chemical monitoring
- Relate to WG3 and WG4 (logistics)





### WG1 and WG2 TASKS: T1.3, T2.3 (Months 12-48)

Deliver a network of collaborating laboratories capable of delivering pan-European surveillance and monitoring

THESSALONIKI, MADRID MEETINGS AND THIS MEETING

- Develop an agreed list of priority compounds/compound groups
- Assess potential for monitoring using species and matrices selected by task
- Establish the scope of activities that could be undertaken and timeliness, quality control and potential for sample exchange between laboratories and collections





### WG1 and WG2 TASKS: T1.5, T2.5 (Months 30-48)

# **Deliver training and guidance** in pan-European surveillance and monitoring using raptors

- Refine technical specs for ERBioMS, for assessment of priority contaminants, PPPs etc
- Training School: Contaminant monitoring with raptors
- Development, with key stakeholders, proof of concept reporting frameworks







### **Completed Short Term Scientific Missions**

- Lead monitoring in raptors around Europe L Monclús Anglada,
   Jan '19
- Review of the detection of veterinary pharmaceuticals in avian scavengers in Europe - M. Herrero Villar, Apr '19
- Presence, concs. and (bio)-analytical methods currently available for emerging and legacy organic contaminants in raptors – S.
   González Rubio, Apr '19
- Identification of candidate raptor species and sample matrices –
   A. Badry, Aug '19







Assessment of standardisation and harmonization of quality control of analytical datasets and their required metadata to achieve pan-European monitoring

Reference WGs1&2/GP4/STSM1

- Literature review and meta-analysis
- Focus on toxic metals, such as lead or mercury, and anticoagulant rodenticides encouraged
- Others, like POPs, flame retardant, etc also considered.

Host Country: ES, UK, DE, FR, NO, NL







## Methods for forensic analysis of Phosphine, cyanide and glycos

Reference WGs1&2/GP4/STSM2

- Remain underreported in the monitoring of mortalities
- Review analytical techniques for these groups of chemicals
- Define those more convenient for the current analytical capacities in European laboratories
- Set up these methods in a wildlife toxicology laboratory for their dissemination to other labs.

Host Country: ES, FR







# Scoping the analytical capacity available within ERBF to carry out the PoC

Reference WGs1&2/GP4/STSM3

- Logistical coordination: sample collection, data gathering and analyses
- Organization of different toxicology laboratories
- Analysing samples in one of these labs
- Coordination of the databases.



Host Country: ES, EE, UK, DE, FR,, NL







## Review of analytical methods/techniques for monitoring Reference WGs1&2/GP4/STSM4

- Review analytic methods for research and monitoring pollutants in avian species
- Dataset of matrix, method, and references
- Core parameters like sample size, levels of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ)

Host Country: ES, EE, UK, DE, NO, NL







- Require Trans-National travel
- Covers Travel and Subsistence Costs

90 days duration

STSMs	Spain	Estonia	UK	Germany	France	Netherlands	Norway
1 – Quality control	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2 – Phosphine etc.	$\checkmark$				✓		
3 – Analysis for PoC	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
4 – Monitoring Methods	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓



